



California Child Development Administrators Association

1107 2nd Street, Suite 320, Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 800-835-3083 Fax: 916-443-5924
www.ccdaa.org

URGENT

MOTION FORM

PLEASE PRINT EACH MOTION ON A SEPARATE FORM

MEETING DATE: Feb 5, 2010

Circle One

TYPE OF MEETING: STATE BOARD COMMITTEE STATEWIDE SECTION (North / South)

AUTHOR OF MOTION: Nirmala Dillman SECONDER OF MOTION: _____

Suggested MOTION TITLE: Cost Model for Title 5 Full-Day Preschool Classroom/SRR

MOTION TEXT: I MOVE THAT

CCDAA Board survey contractors/members, and consult an economist to develop a cost model for a Title 5 full day preschool classroom to be used to predict the impact of different reimbursement reform proposals, to inform advocacy efforts and to model the impact of regional economic forces.

CCDAA State Board will be responsible for soliciting bids from economists interested in assisting with the development of this cost model, and will be completely responsible for overseeing the bidding process. As part of the bidding process, CCDAA's State Board will determine a budget for the development of this cost model.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF PROBLEM OR NEED ADDRESSED BY THE MOTION:

CCDAA has no model for the costs that the Standard Reimbursement Rate (SRR) is intended to cover. When we describe unfunded mandates and the inadequacy of the SRR, we cannot quantify our statements or state what it would take to remedy the situation. A cost model developed by a consultant with recognized expertise and understanding of the economics of subsidized and market-based child care will give CCDAA an important advocacy tool, a way to measure the fiscal impact of reimbursement and quality improvement proposals and a way to model regional cost differences.

LIST OF WHO WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE MOTION:

CCDAA Board, Staff, Public Policy Team, and members will all benefit. Board, staff and public policy team will have temporary increase in duties to determine the consultant scope of work and member survey questions.

IDENTIFY POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACTS (ESTIMATED AND/OR KNOWN):

The fiscal impact will be the cost of hiring a consultant to create a cost model. The cost will depend upon the rates of whoever is chosen, the level of detail and flexibility that the CCDAA requests and whether the model includes any assessment of any reimbursement or quality improvement proposals. The range might be: _____ to _____

AUTHOR OF THE MOTION IS TO ADD PROS AND CONS AS KNOWN AND/OR AS RAISED AT THE MEETING:

Members are to submit completed motion forms to the CCDAA Administrative Office; where it will be forwarded to both Members at Large for distribution and voting. If the motion has been seconded, it will be read and voted upon at the next meeting(s). If the motion has no second, then one will be sought at the meeting. If no one seconds the motion it dies.

Motions passed by a majority vote of the voting organization will take effect immediately; organizational decisions will be posted on the website, and emailed to membership.

VOTE COUNT: YEAs: _____ NAYs: _____ ABSTAIN: _____ VOTE RESULT: _____



California Child Development Administrators Association

1107 2nd Street, Suite 320, Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 800-835-3083 Fax: 916-443-5924
www.ccdaa.org

URGENT

MOTION FORM

PLEASE PRINT EACH MOTION ON A SEPARATE FORM

MEETING DATE: Feb. 5, 2010

Circle One

TYPE OF MEETING: STATE BOARD COMMITTEE STATEWIDE SECTION (North / South)

AUTHOR OF MOTION: Nirmala Dillman SECONDER OF MOTION: _____

Suggested MOTION TITLE: Standard Reimbursement Rate Reform Priorities

MOTION TEXT: I MOVE THAT CCDAA Board and members should adopt a public policy priority that reimbursement reform of Title 5 state-contracted centers is essential and must include a method for addressing regional cost differences. Any reimbursement reform that CCDAA pursues will preserve or increase the current SRR rate as a base for reimbursement plus future COLAs. In this process, CCDAA will oppose any proposal that lowers the current SRR.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF PROBLEM OR NEED ADDRESSED BY THE MOTION:

The SRR Task Force was asked to examine what reforms are needed in the present reimbursement system and to make recommendations to CCDAA. It concluded that the present SRR does not reflect the cost of doing business under Title 5 regulations anywhere in the state. The current single standard reimbursement rate, for a state as large and diverse as California, does not provide consistent quality and threatens the survival of programs in high cost regions. The gap between the SRR and the RMR ceilings in some counties is so great that even license exempt provider reimbursement is higher than the SRR. At the same time, a reimbursement system which is driven only by the market place, without respect to quality standards, is not consistent with CCDAA's long standing position favoring tiered reimbursement based on quality indicators. CCDAA's advocate and public policy team need the direction of the membership as to whether the reform of the reimbursement system should include some way to reflect regional cost differences and a way to protect a core basic rate that pays for the quality standards required by Title 5. This is needed even if no specific legislation is put forward this year. It is critical to ELQIS design, education of legislators and design of future legislation.

Members are to submit completed motion forms to the CCDAA Administrative Office; where it will be forwarded to both Members at Large for distribution and voting. If the motion has been seconded, it will be read and voted upon at the next meeting(s). If the motion has no second, then one will be sought at the meeting. If no one seconds the motion it dies.

Motions passed by a majority vote of the voting organization will take effect immediately; organizational decisions will be posted on the website, and emailed to membership.

LIST OF WHO WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE MOTION:

Advocate, Public Policy Team, Board and Members

IDENTIFY POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACTS (ESTIMATED AND/OR KNOWN):

There is no fiscal impact from the motion itself. If advocacy for reimbursement system reform is ultimately successful it will have a positive fiscal impact on reimbursement of Title 5 state-contracted centers.

AUTHOR OF THE MOTION IS TO ADD PROS AND CONS AS KNOWN AND/OR AS RAISED AT THE MEETING:

Provided in documents provided before the meeting.

VOTE COUNT: YEAs: _____ NAYs: _____ ABSTAIN: _____ VOTE RESULT: _____



California Child Development Administrators Association

1107 2nd Street, Suite 320, Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 800-835-3083 Fax: 916-443-5924
www.ccdaa.org

URGENT

MOTION FORM

PLEASE PRINT EACH MOTION ON A SEPARATE FORM

MEETING DATE: Feb. 5, 2010

Circle One

TYPE OF MEETING: STATE BOARD COMMITTEE STATEWIDE SECTION (North / South)

AUTHOR OF MOTION: Nirmala Dillman SECONDER OF MOTION: _____

Suggested MOTION TITLE: Standard Reimbursement Rate Reform Priorities

MOTION TEXT: I MOVE THAT CCDAA Board and members should adopt a public policy priority that reimbursement reform of Title 5 state-contracted centers is essential and must include a method for addressing regional cost differences. Any reimbursement reform that CCDAA pursues will preserve or increase the current SRR rate as a base for reimbursement plus future COLAs. In this process, CCDAA will oppose any proposal that lowers the current SRR.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY OF PROBLEM OR NEED ADDRESSED BY THE MOTION:

The SRR Task Force was asked to examine what reforms are needed in the present reimbursement system and to make recommendations to CCDAA. It concluded that the present SRR does not reflect the cost of doing business under Title 5 regulations anywhere in the state. The current single standard reimbursement rate, for a state as large and diverse as California, does not provide consistent quality and threatens the survival of programs in high cost regions. The gap between the SRR and the RMR ceilings in some counties is so great that even license exempt provider reimbursement is higher than the SRR. At the same time, a reimbursement system which is driven only by the market place, without respect to quality standards, is not consistent with CCDAA's long standing position favoring tiered reimbursement based on quality indicators. CCDAA's advocate and public policy team need the direction of the membership as to whether the reform of the reimbursement system should include some way to reflect regional cost differences and a way to protect a core basic rate that pays for the quality standards required by Title 5. This is needed even if no specific legislation is put forward this year. It is critical to ELQIS design, education of legislators and design of future legislation.

Members are to submit completed motion forms to the CCDAA Administrative Office; where it will be forwarded to both Members at Large for distribution and voting. If the motion has been seconded, it will be read and voted upon at the next meeting(s). If the motion has no second, then one will be sought at the meeting. If no one seconds the motion it dies.

Motions passed by a majority vote of the voting organization will take effect immediately; organizational decisions will be posted on the website, and emailed to membership.

LIST OF WHO WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE MOTION:

Advocate, Public Policy Team, Board and Members

IDENTIFY POTENTIAL FISCAL IMPACTS (ESTIMATED AND/OR KNOWN):

There is no fiscal impact from the motion itself. If advocacy for reimbursement system reform is ultimately successful it will have a positive fiscal impact on reimbursement of Title 5 state-contracted centers.

AUTHOR OF THE MOTION IS TO ADD PROS AND CONS AS KNOWN AND/OR AS RAISED AT THE MEETING:

Provided in documents provided before the meeting.

VOTE COUNT: YEAs: _____ NAYs: _____ ABSTAIN: _____ VOTE RESULT: _____